

Outcome Measure	Disability Rating Scale (DRS)
Sensitivity to Change	No
Population	Adult
Domain	Global Outcome
Type of Measure	Clinician-rated scale
ICF-Code/s	b1, d2, d5, d8
Description	<p>The DRS (Rappaport et al. 1982) was developed for people with TBI to monitor progress from the acute stages of recovery through to longer-term outcome. The DRS is widely used in the USA. It is administered by a clinician using information based on their knowledge and observations of the patient and information obtained from other sources (e.g., nursing staff).</p> <p>The DRS is an 8-item scale covering 4 domains:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) The arousal and awareness domain: uses 3 items from the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS; eye-opening, motor response and verbal response). (2) Cognitive capacity for self-care tasks: uses 3 items (feeding, toileting and grooming). (3) Physical dependency on other people (single item) (4) Employability in the workplace (or home duties or schooling). <p>Each of the items and response levels has detailed operational definitions. Items have a variable score range: the 3 items of the GCS are scored on the traditional 4-6 point score (but with the scoring direction reversed). The 3 self-care items are each rated on a 4-point scale from 0 (complete independence) to 3 (no independence), the level of functioning on a 6-point scale from 0 (completely independent) to 5 (totally dependent), and employability on a 4-point scale from 0 (not restricted) to 3 (not employable). A total score is used for the DRS, ranging from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating greater impairment/disability.</p> <p>Scores can also be collapsed into 8 broad categories as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0-3 = none (0), mild (1), partial (2-3) 4-6 = moderate 7-11 = moderately severe 12-16 = severe 17-21 = extremely severe 22-24 = vegetative state 25-29 = extreme vegetative state 30 = death <p>The scale is suggested to take between 5-15 mins to complete.</p>
Properties	<p>See Tate (2010) for the following psychometric properties:</p> <p><i>Inter-rater reliability</i> – $r=.97-.98$ (Rappaport et al., 1982); $r=.98$ (Gouvier et al., 1987)</p>

	<p><i>Test-retest reliability</i> – 1 day $r = .95$ (Gouvier et al., 1987)</p> <p><i>Internal consistency</i> – item-total correlation range : $r = .54-.94$ (Rappaport et al., 1982)</p> <p><i>Concurrent validity</i> – with evoked potentials ($r = .78$, Rappaport et al., 1982); with Stover-Zeiger Scale ($r = .81$) and an expanded version of GOS ($r = .85$) Gouvier et al., 1987); with CIQ ($r = -.43$) and CHART ($r = -.53$) Zhang et al., 2002)</p> <p>Predictive validity: admission DRS correlated with 1 year DRS ($r = .53$, Rappaport et al., 1982); admission DRS correlated with discharge expanded GOS ($r = .73$, Gouvier et al., 1987).</p> <p>Responsiveness to change: from admission to discharge (Govuvier et al., 1987; Hall et al., 1996)</p>
Advantages	<p>One of the most established outcome measures in TBI.</p> <p>Good scale properties.</p> <p>Quick to administer</p> <p>Relevant across the full spectrum of recovery</p>
Disadvantages	<p>Crude and quick to administer tool that doesn't tap into higher level function. Less sensitivity to change in individuals at higher levels of functioning.</p> <p>Does not detect more subtle impairments.</p> <p>Heavily weighted toward people coming out of a coma or with high care needs.</p>
Additional Information	The DRS is a Supplemental measure in the Global Outcome Domain in Wilde et al (2010).
Reviewers	Jenny Fleming

References

All references are from:

Tate, R. L. (2010) *A compendium of tests, scales, and questionnaires: The practitioners guide to measuring outcomes after acquired brain impairment*. Psychology Press.